Statement by Lynn Tramonte, Ohio Immigrant Alliance

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship

Hearing: Oversight of Immigrant Military Members and Veterans

May 18, 2022

Deportation is an extreme, often permanent act. Veterans who were deported have led the way in advocating to come home, and the Ohio Immigrant Alliance (OHIA) applauds their efforts. OHIA is calling on the Biden administration and Congress to build a new paradigm for immigration policy that puts people first. OHIA stands with U.S. military veterans leading this charge and calls for expanding return pathways for all people who were deported.

Deportation should not be a life sentence, or a death sentence. Allowing individuals to return after deportation will help U.S. families and communities heal and move forward.

The U.S. Is Deporting People Who Have Built Their Lives Here, Including U.S. Military Veterans

The current U.S. immigration system is not designed to function fairly, but to fail. There are many examples of this, but today I will focus on the deportations of long-term U.S. residents, including those who served in this nation's military. Deportation is an extreme consequence for a paperwork problem or civil violation, with extremely devastating consequences.

Deportation should never be the default when it comes to the treatment of long-term U.S. residents.

Miguel Perez joined the U.S. Army in 2001 and served two tours in Afghanistan.¹ As is the case for many veterans, Perez suffered from PTSD due to his experiences, turning to alcohol and drugs to cope. When Perez petitioned for retroactive citizenship for his military service, he was denied due to a 2008 drug conviction. In 2018, one week after receiving this news, 39 year-old Perez was deported to Mexico, where he had not lived since he was eight years old.

As Perez and his family fought to try to stop his deportation, he said, "The system has been killing me slowly, and now I'm facing death if I'm deported to Mexico, so I would

¹ "U.S. Army veteran who served two tours in Afghanistan deported to Mexico," CBS News, 3/26/18, https://www.cbsnews.com/news/us-army-veteran-miguel-perez-deported-to-mexico/

rather die in the country I fought for than in a place that's not my home [emphasis added]."²

Ibrahima Keita fled a dictatorship in Mali thirty years ago.³ He applied for asylum in the U.S. and, over the course of several years, scraped together some money to hire an immigration lawyer. But Mr. Keita's lawyer failed to show up at a crucial hearing; he waited outside the courthouse for hours, unaware he could attend the hearing alone. At this hearing, Mr. Keita's fate was sealed. His deportation was ordered *in absentia*.

The Bush and Obama administrations exercised prosecutorial discretion and allowed Mr. Keita to remain in the United States with his family, but the Trump administration deported him. Now, the future of the Keita family—including their two elementary-age sons—is uncertain. The U.S. Government issued a Level 4 Travel Advisory (Do Not Travel) for Mali because of terrorism, kidnappings, and other violence there, making it dangerous for his family to reunite in Mali. Not only dangerous, but deadly. One of Mr. Keita's two U.S. citizen sons has sickle cell anemia, and the treatments he needs to survive are not available there.

Mr. Keita is one of over 250 people we interviewed at the Ohio Immigrant Alliance for a project called #ReuniteUS. These are people who were deported from the United States after living here for years and even decades, and wish to return to their families, homes, lives, and jobs. Many have U.S. citizen children and spouses who are still in the United States. Several are eligible for legal permanent residency and other forms of immigration status under the law.

Abdoulaye Lam fled his native Mauritania in 2004 and came to the United States seeking asylum.⁴ Though his asylum claim was denied, he was permitted to remain in the country if he complied with routine check-ins with ICE. He and his wife, Vatimou Mikaill, built their new lives in Columbus, Ohio, and started a family. Following a routine check-in with ICE in December 2017, Lam was detained and deported four months later, just nine days after his third child was born. To this day, he has not held his son or seen him in person.

Reflecting on this experience, Lam said, "I was thinking it was the American dream for me, but, sadly, it just turned into a nightmare." He pleaded, "Please, remember people like us, especially those of us who still have families and kids here."

Now a struggling single mother without the support and presence of her husband Lam, Mikaill stated, "When my husband was arrested, I was pregnant. I thought it was the

² "Detained Vet's Supporters Ask Gov. Rauner For Help," CBS News, 1/31/18, https://www.cbsnews.com/chicago/news/detained-vets-supporters-ask-gov-rauner-to-intervene/

³ "Unraveling of Trump policies a distant hope for separated immigrant families," Reuters, 9/29/20, https://graphics.reuters.com/USA-TRUMP/IMMIGRATION-PROFILES/gjnvwjbldpw/index.html.

⁴ "Deported asylum seekers ask President Joe Biden for a chance to return to families in U.S.," The Columbus Dispatch, 9/15/21,

https://www.dispatch.com/story/news/politics/2021/09/13/deported-asylum-seekers-ask-biden-rejoin-families-columbus-ohio/5574366001/

end of the world. I thought I would die. I'm really disappointed and surprised why Biden hasn't done so much to help people like my husband. I hope he will do something, and I hope he will do it soon."

No Check, No Balance, No Justice For All

Congress sets the laws and the Executive Branch applies them. The Judicial Branch is supposed to provide a check and balance on both, protecting constitutional rights.

The Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), erroneously referred to as the U.S. Immigration "Courts," is actually a powerful office within the Executive Branch. The Attorney General is in charge of EOIR, directing its budget, employees (including judges), and even case outcomes (through the "certification" superpower).

The Secretary of Homeland Security directs the agencies that act as adversaries to immigrants in U.S. Immigration Court. Both the Attorney General and Secretary of Homeland Security are members of the President's Cabinet. They are colleagues who report to the same "boss," an elected official.

In Immigration Court, there are two representatives of the U.S. Government challenging one person, the immigrant—who may or may not be represented by legal counsel, or aware of the legal defenses that can be used in their cases.

In Ohio, immigrants are ten times more likely to win their cases if they have a lawyer.⁵ This means that people who have a right to remain here under the law are losing their cases and being deported, only because they are detained and/or do not have a lawyer to help them.

The "safety valves" in place after a case is denied by a U.S. Immigration Judge are, nominally, the Board of Immigration Appeals (also directed by the Department of Justice), the U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeals (which also require legal counsel, practically-speaking, to access), and "prosecutorial discretion."

Prosecutorial discretion exists in numerous areas of criminal and civil law.⁶ In the immigration context, the Executive Branch retains the authority to decide whether or not to execute a deportation order issued by an Immigration Judge, as just one example.

But relying upon prosecutorial discretion as the only "check" in the system is untenable. Discretionary decisions can be impermanent and fluctuate based on political choices, not the law. Prior administrations chose not to deport Ibrahima Keita and Abdoulaye Lam; the Trump administration did. Deportation—permanent banishment from one's

⁵ "Mauritanian Network Reacts to Biden Announcement on Access to Counsel in Immigration Courts," Ohio Immigrant Alliance, 5/18/21,

https://ohioimmigrant.org/2021/05/18/access-to-counsel-life-or-death-matter/.

⁶ See scholarship of Professor Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia, Samuel Weiss Faculty Scholar and Clinical Professor of Law at Penn State Law, https://pennstatelaw.psu.edu/faculty/wadhia.

home, family, job, and life as they know it—is an extreme consequence for a paperwork problem. It should not be a life sentence, nor should it be a death sentence. Currently, it is often both.

Deporting Mr. Keita and Mr. Lam was not an exercise of justice, it was an exercise of cruelty. The pain they and their families are experiencing today is profound. A new system is needed to inject due process at all points of access, and live up to our commitment to "Justice For All."

Systemic Racism Is A Driver of Deportation

The backdrop of the deportations we reviewed as part of the #ReuniteUS project is the system itself—a set of laws and structures that work chaotically, unfairly, and exactly as designed. Immigration laws and administrative agencies were created on a foundation of white supremacy. The goal was not to provide a fair hearing and process for people to become U.S. residents and citizens, but to present the illusion of fairness, while keeping poor people and people of color from accessing power.

Today's U.S. immigration laws and practices are based on a white supremacist agenda that goes back over a century. For example, in 1929 Congress made unauthorized entry/re-entry into the United States a crime. This was a compromise between Nativists who did not want people from Mexico to come into the country at all, believing they would "dilute the racial purity" of the United States, and agribusiness representatives who wanted people from Mexico to work here but not have rights.⁷

The "compromise" gave authorities a tool to hold over workers' heads: if you want to work and earn money here, do it without complaint. Otherwise, you may be charged with a crime and sent to federal prison, instead of back to your families. A businessman from Texas said bluntly: "If we could not control the Mexicans and they would take this country it would be better to keep them out, but we can and do control them."

The Reagan administration turbocharged today's mass immigration jail network as a response to the exodus of Black men from Haiti and Cuba seeking asylum. Rather than recognizing and treating them as people in need of protection, they were depicted as dangerous—the image reinforced by their incarceration. The U.S. government was well aware that this "could create an appearance of 'concentration camps' filled largely by blacks," did it anyway, and got away with it. This successful gaslighting of the American people led to the massive network of immigration jails funded by taxpayers today.

⁷ Amicus brief for Professors Kelly Lytle Hernandez, Mae Ngai, and Ingrid Eagly, *U.S. v. Refugio Palomar-Santiago*, March 2021,

https://law.ucla.edu/sites/default/files/PDFs/Center_for_Immigration_Law_and_Policy/20-437_Amici_Brief_ndf.

⁸ "How migrant detention became American policy," *Washington Post*, 7/19/19, https://www.washingtonpost.com/outlook/2019/07/19/how-migrant-detention-became-american-policy/.

This is not a system designed for humanitarian protection. It is a system designed for coercion and control; and one that has sent thousands of people back to the persecutors and dangers they ran from.

Most of the people interviewed by the Ohio Immigrant Alliance for #ReuniteUS are Black refugees who sought asylum in the United States. They came here because they thought the United States would be a safe place. Their original legal cases were denied years and even decades ago, but not because they did or do not qualify for asylum. Lack of adequate legal counsel is one factor but institutional racism is also to blame.

As previously discussed, the U.S. Immigration Court system is not an independent judicial body that provides checks and balances on actions taken by the political (executive and legislative) branches. U.S. Immigration Courts, Immigration Judges, and even the Board of Immigration Appeals are all directed, overseen, managed, and funded by the U.S. Department of Justice. Also, many Immigration Judges have no training or background in immigration law. This is a farcical "court" structure where judges are overseen by political appointees and due process is nothing but a dream.

The people whose cases we reviewed at the Ohio Immigrant Alliance were denied asylum due to arcane and arbitrary rules like the one year asylum filing deadline; mistakes made by corrupt or incompent "legal" advisers; lack of interpreters in their true native languages; and the catch-all for asylum denials: "credibility determinations." Credibility is a subjective standard informed by a judge's personal background, not training or legal requirements.

In U.S. Immigration Courts, mainly white, Western-educated people of Judeo-Christian backgrounds make character judgements about people from diverse religious, cultural, social, linguistic, educational, financial, and literacy backgrounds. Some decisions are made based on ignorance and bias.

If a person does not give graphic detail about his torture and break down crying, a judge may decide he is "not credible" and deny his request for asylum. This is because the person is not exhibiting trauma the way this U.S. Immigration Judge, with no psychological training, expects him to. If he fails to look the judge in the eye, or doesn't give specific names, dates, and sensory details about the most traumatic moments of his life in an intimidating courtroom—or worse yet, through a remote video screen from a county jail—he may be called "aloof" or "evasive" and again deemed "not credible."

Judges have even denied asylum to refugees when their abuse was acknowledged and "credibility" affirmed, but their torture fell short of permanent physical or psychological damage.⁹ Again, this judgment is being made by a person with no medical or trauma training. Despite the life and death nature of these cases, huge deference is given to the original judges' credibility assessments on appeal.

5

⁹ "US Officials Outrageously Claim Black Men Fleeing Slavery Lack 'Credible Fear," *Truthout*, 11/17/21, https://truthout.org/articles/us-officials-outrageously-claim-black-men-fleeing-slavery-lack-credible-fear/.

This is not a system designed for humanitarian protection. If we want to protect the values we claim, this system has to be discarded and redone.

Stacking the deck against people battling for their lives in U.S. Immigration Court was not enough for the architects of immigration law and policy. They added several indignities to further encourage people to "give up" and "go home"—indignities that still haunt deported people and their families today.

Immigration cases are about civil law compliance and enforcement. But the system makes broad use of tools from the criminal system when it suits the state—such as incarceration—and ignores them when they suit the individual—such as access to counsel and the right to appear in court in person, rather than through a jailhouse video feed.

Many of the people we interviewed spent months and even years in U.S. immigration jail while challenging their civil cases. Typically, these were rural county jails not equipped for long-term detention, far from people's families, lawyers, and the Immigration Court itself.

Again, this is not by accident. The system is working exactly as designed.

The federal government uses incarceration to coerce people into accepting deportation, rather than continuing to fight their cases from jail. Being detained makes it infinitely harder to find and pay a competent lawyer, and gather documents and evidence needed in immigration cases. Incarceration is extremely expensive and emotionally taxing for families. It takes breadwinners out of homes and adds new costs like price-gouging phone and video calls, commissary accounts, and additional legal expenses, because lawyers' costs increase when the person is detained and harder to access. Incarceration adds mental and physical stress to the lives of spouses, children, and detained people, who may never fully recover from these experiences.

On top of the procedural and financial hurdles created by incarceration, the government uses physical and psychological abuse against individuals seeking protection. Bayong Brown Bayong and Ahmed Adem were physically assaulted by Corrections Officers supervised by ICE in the Butler County Jail. ICE and their jailers denied Goura Ndiaye medical treatment for his necrotic hip for months before deporting him in shackles, his hip bone detached from his body. The Morrow County Jail became 100% COVID

¹⁰ "Witness in lawsuit alleging assaults of immigrants at Butler County Jail has been deported," Fox 19, 12/18/20.

https://www.fox19.com/2020/12/18/witness-lawsuit-alleging-assaults-immigrants-butler-county-jail-has-been-deported/.

¹¹ "After 20 Years in the US, I Was Suddenly Deported to a Country That Still Has Slavery," Mother Jones, 10/29/20,

https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2020/10/immigration-trump-miller-election-2020-deported/.

positive after it refused to institute basic prevention measures.¹² Oscar Lopez Acosta died just days after being released from so-called "civil detention" there.¹³

In mass deportation charter flights, men and women are shackled at their wrists, waists, and feet for hours, even when trying to eat or use the restroom. Some are tied up in "The WRAP" restraints and forced to endure hour after hour in stress positions, barely able to breathe. After deportation, many have had to flee to other countries because they cannot live safely in their countries of deportation.

By jailing people who are requesting asylum, making it nearly impossible for them to prove their cases, and using various physical, financial, and mental coercions to get them to agree to deportation, the U.S. has criminalized the status of being a refugee. This despite the fact the United States committed to protecting refugees after our failure to do so during the Holocaust.

All this to say that there are many reasons that an immigration case is denied and a person is ordered deported, but few have to do with the actual merits of the case. Deportation is an extreme consequence for losing an asylum case, and the U.S. government has deported many refugees to arrest in their native countries¹⁵ and even murder. The consequences for life and families are profound.

A New Paradigm For Immigration Policy and Law

In July 2021, the Biden administration announced a program to facilitate return after deportation for some U.S. military veterans and their families, and a halt to pending deportation proceedings of veterans.¹⁷

This hard-fought win and important first step was secured through the powerful voices and years of advocacy by immigrant veterans, their relatives, and advocates. Jennie Pasquarella, director of immigrants' rights and senior staff attorney at the ACLU of Southern California was instrumental in the fight. She said, "Too often, U.S. immigration

¹² "Report: 100% of Morrow County jail inmates had COVID-19," *Mansfield News Journal*, 6/9/20, <a href="https://www.mansfieldnewsjournal.com/story/news/2020/06/08/report-all-morrow-county-ice-jail-inmates-o-hio-coronavirus-covid-19-immigration-customs-enforcement/5317798002/.

¹³ "How ICE Data Undercounts COVID-19 Victims," PBS Frontline, 8/11/20, https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/article/how-ice-data-undercounts-covid-19-victims/.

¹⁴ "Civil Rights Complaint Reveals ICE Abuse of 'The WRAP' Restraints to Facilitate Deportations to Cameroon," UndocuBlack Network, 10/13/21,

https://undocublack.org/press-releases/2021/10/13/the-wrap-complaint.

¹⁵ "Mauritanians who sought refuge in U.S. face deportation, then jail," Thomson Reuters,12/17/18, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-mauritania-immigration-usa/mauritanians-who-sought-refuge-in-u-s-face-deportation-then-jail-idUSKBN1OG1DA.

¹⁶ "'We sit in disbelief': the anguish of families torn apart under Trump's deportation policy," The Marshall Project, 6/22/20.

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/jun/22/trump-deportation-policy-families-torn-apart.

¹⁷ "Biden administration formally launches effort to return deported veterans to U.S.," The Washington Post, 7/2/21,

https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/biden-deported-veterans-return/2021/07/02/a557285e-db63-11eb-9bbb-37c30dcf9363_story.html

policies have resulted in this country banishing its veterans to countries they left as small children and committing them to lives of isolation and destitution. This is not how we honor our service members... [President Biden must] create a pathway so they can return home. I think that's where the administration could adopt a policy or a process that would allow for a revisiting of those cases, reopening their immigration cases."¹⁸

For years, Robert Vivar has been another vocal advocate for bringing deported veterans home. As a deported person himself, and co-director of Unified U.S. Deported Veterans in Tijuana, Mexico, Vivar fights for veterans' rights to live in the country they served. "Being deported, taken away from your family, from the neighborhood where you grew up, is quite a trauma," he stated. "Even a convicted murderer rapist has an opportunity of parole and being reintegrated into the community. But someone who served, who was willing to give up their lives, has all that taken from them." 19

Since the immigrant veterans program's inception, some have returned to their homes in the United States, including Mr. Vivar. Caesar Flores of California joined the military out of what he felt was a "higher calling." When his mother, Juana Flores, was ordered deported in 2018–just days before Caesar's wedding–he knew he needed to fight for her. However, by April 2019, she was returned to Mexico. After Caesar wrote a letter to elected officials pleading for the return of his mother, and as part of the Biden administration's new program, Juana was eventually granted "humanitarian parole" in May 2021. She returned to her children and grandchildren in California on June 4. In tears, she said, "I feel bad. There are a lot of people who are waiting," she said. "It's so sad for the others. It's been a long time."

While we celebrate Juana's return, we join her in our concern for the others. Advocates note that very few deported veterans have been able to come back to the United States since the program began. "President Biden made all these promises," said Margaret Stock, an immigration lawyer and retired Army officer. "I've hardly seen anybody brought back." Much more needs to be done.

In one of its first acts in office, the Biden administration issued Executive Order 14011 to establish the Interagency Task Force on the Reunification of Families, focusing primarily on families separated at the southern U.S. border. The Ohio Immigrant Alliance applauded that step, but we insist that the administration broaden its view of "family separation" to include all types of families, regardless of where or when the separation occurred, and to not limit return or reunification to people living in traditional family structures.

¹⁸ "Deported Veterans Look To Biden Administration For Help," KPBS, 6/14/21,

https://www.kpbs.org/news/midday-edition/2021/06/14/deported-veterans-look-biden-administration-help "Immigrants who have served in the U.S. military are being deported after facing legal troubles," The Orange County Register, 4/4/2019,

https://www.ocregister.com/2019/04/04/immigrant-combat-vet-being-both-can-still-result-in-deportation/ "Military mother returns as part of Biden's promise to reverse deportations of veterans and families of service members," The Washington Post, 6/25/21,

https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2021/06/25/military-mother-returns-part-bidens-promise-reverse-deportations-veterans-families-service-members/?itid=lk_interstitial_manual_5

In late January 2022, OHIA's #ReuniteUS initiative launched a comment campaign to provide feedback to the federal government's Family Separation Task Force about their role and work. Comments from dozens of deported people and their friends and relatives urged the administration to take a broader view of what "family separation" is and the policies needed to repair it.

Jesus Zambrano Mondragón of Texas, a husband and father, was deported to Mexico in 2019. In his comment he stated, "The Trump administration deported me in 2019, they separated me from my [daughter and son] and it is very painful the damage we see in our children and the suffering, [especially] when I hear my wife [is] working all day to provide for them and their being alone all day. Please help us to be together and to work for my family again. My children are really suffering the consequences!"

The Biden administration must evaluate and expand the U.S. veteran return policy, as well as enhance and create other avenues for return for individuals who are stateless, have U.S. citizen relatives or other equities in the U.S., and/or are living in unstable and violent situations due to their deportation. Again, single individuals and people who are not living in traditional familial structures must be included. The whole person must be evaluated, and deportation should never again be the default in decisions for these types of cases.

OHIA supports the <u>Joint Explanatory Statement (JES) to the FY 2022 DHS Appropriations bill</u>, which instructs DHS and its component agencies to facilitate the return of some deported individuals, as well as efforts led by Rep. Mondiare Jones and Sen. Cory Booker to further codify the language in law. We advocate for creation of a central unit within DHS to consider applications to return home, provided decisions are not left to the same agencies charged with deporting people in the first place. The DHS unit should grant humanitarian parole, join in motions to reopen, and facilitate return to the US of people who were deported.

Importantly, these actions can be taken affirmatively by the Biden administration, without an act of Congress. They do not change who is eligible for return after deportation, but rather encourage more generous applications of existing law.

Other demands for changes to return policy are detailed <u>here</u>. Finally, Congress must also do its job and remove existing deportation penalties and barriers to return in U.S. law so that more people can return home.

Redirect Funding from the Carceral System Toward Due Process and Human Needs

The Biden administration and Congress should redirect federal appropriations from immigration detention and deportation toward policies and practices that promote family unity and due process, and recognize the inherent dignity of all people. This includes ending contracts with the companies that jail immigrants and facilitate mass, inhumane charter flight deportations, whether by providing the planes or undignified restraints.

Instead, money should be directed towards hiring officers to adjudicate consular petitions, waivers, parole requests, and other applications for return; access to legal counsel for all people facing immigration judicial proceedings; and other pro-human due process needs.

Create an Independent Immigration Court, With Guaranteed Access to Legal Counsel

A system of fairer laws, proportionate consequences, paths to compliance, access to counsel, and an independent immigration court must replace the current punishment-based system created to achieve white supremacist goals.

U.S. school children learn the value of an independent judiciary every day, because this is a fundamental tenet of a functioning democracy. Also today, more and more Americans are committed to naming and rooting out systemic racism in society, laws, and policies. Addressing the U.S. Immigration Courts—and immigration system writ large—must be part of this reckoning.

No person should be forced to make his immigration case without access to counsel or while incarcerated, cut off from free communication and the ability to track down evidence he needs to succeed. A person should never have to face his adversary or attempt to appeal to an Immigration Judge through a jailhouse video feed. Those are characteristics of a system designed to fail the people it pretends to protect.

Rewrite U.S. Immigration Laws, Systems, and Processes Based on Humanitarian Values, Not White Supremacy

Peter L. Markowitz, Professor of Law at the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law has proposed a new architecture for the immigration enforcement system that is more humane, functional, and cost-effective than the current one.²¹

This scheme is based on the goal of compliance with the law—which is supposed to be the aim of civil legal structures, no matter the topic—rather than punishment and control based on white supremacy.

He writes:

Just as the goal of criminal justice systems is to reduce crime rates, not to maximize incarceration, policymakers must judge the effectiveness of America's immigration enforcement system on the ultimate measure that matters: compliance with immigration law. By that measure, while ICE's heavy-handed tactics have succeeded in terrorizing communities and dividing the nation, they have failed as a law enforcement strategy. Thus, anyone who cares about fiscal responsibility or effective law enforcement—not simply those who care about

²¹ "A New Paradigm for Humane and Effective Immigration Enforcement," Center for American Progress, 11/30/20.

https://www.americanprogress.org/article/new-paradigm-humane-effective-immigration-enforcement/.

immigrant communities—should be eager to rethink the United States' immigration enforcement strategy.

It's time to stop pretending and build a system that is fair and just. Deportation should not be a life sentence, or a death sentence. Return from deportation is a moral imperative that will help our communities heal and move forward. Policies assisting U.S. military veterans are a start in the right direction, but need to be expanded far beyond.